

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,042	07/03/2003	Prakash Khatri	1607.1001CIP/C	4269
21171	7590 07/21/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			MCAVOY, ELLEN M	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1764	
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			0				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/612,042	KHATRI, PRAKASH					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ellen M McAvoy	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	s				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·—	, and the second se						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition and accomposition accomposition is objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the correc	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the	ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ge				
AMaahaa aasta)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 17 July 2003.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)				

Application/Control Number: 10/612,042

Art Unit: 1764

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-59 of U.S. Patent No. 6,610,635 B2 (Khatri). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the thermal transfer compounds, thermal interface materials, and methods for providing a thermal interface material may be the same when the filler component is boron nitride which is taught as a suitable filler in the patent in column 5, lines 29-32.

Claims 9-11 and 16-20 are also rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,475,962 B2 (Khatri). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the methods for providing a thermal interface material for electronic component assemblies may be the same when the filler component is boron nitride which is taught as suitable in the patent in column 5, lines 29-32.

Page 3

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ellen M McAvoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1451.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

illen M McAvoy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1764

EMcAvoy July 20, 2004